

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

لهم

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,764	07/02/2003	James J. Solheid		2316.1785US01	7163	
23552 7590	70.01.2001			EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903				NASRI, JAVAID H		
MINNEAPOLIS,	MN 55402-0903		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			•	2839		
			•	DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	an Na	A and the second		
, ,	i	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		
	Office Action Summary	10/613,764		SOLHEID ET AL.		
-	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit		
		Javaid Na		2839	.pu	
A SH THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO	PLY IS SET T N.	O EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH	(S) FROM	ess	
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply with the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	R 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the state iod will apply and we stute, cause the app	utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm	nunication.	
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	·	his action is n	on-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow			secution as to the m	erite ie	
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	on				
E C	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		reidóration			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	i awij ilolii col	isideration.	· ·		
	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.				*	
' =	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•	•	
, ,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	guirement			
			, and an arrival arriv		•	
	on Papers	,				
	The specification is objected to by the Exami					
	Γhe drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 2004</u> is/a					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is require	d if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).	
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	152.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
/-	All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority docume	nts have been receive	d in this National Sta	ge .	
* 0.	application from the International Bure					
, 3	ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certifi	ed copies not receive	d.		
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PŤO-413)		
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e		
o) ⊠ inform Paper	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date 9/22/03.		5)	tent Application (PTO-152	2)	
L U.S. Patent and Tra PTOL-326 (Re		Action Summary		t of Paper No./Mail Date 20	0040525	

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1, 2 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a) In claim 1, line 8, change "optical connector" to -- optically connect --.

Note: These are few examples only. Applicant is required to check all the claims and correct them accordingly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a) In claim 2, line 3, "a dust cap" is already in claim 1, line 13.

Note: These are few examples only. Applicant is required to check all the claims and correct them accordingly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 2839

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wheeler (5,497,444) in view of Ernst et al (5,825,955).

Wheeler discloses a top, a floor, a pair of opposing sides, a front wall and a rear wall defining an interior, the front including an access door for accessing the interior (see figure 25); a cable management structure; at least one adapter panel including a plurality of openings for mounting fiber optic adapters (see figure 7), at least one adapter (90) mounted within one of the openings in one of the at least one adapter panel, at least one fiber optic connector holder mounted within one of the openings of the at least one adapter panel, the connector holder including an opening configured to receive a fiber optic connector, the opening of the fiber optic connector holder accessible from a front side of the adapter panel,

However, Wheeler does not disclose:

a) The adapter configured to optically connect two optical fiber cables terminated with fiber optic connectors, one cable on a rear side of the adapter and one cable on a front side of the adapter. Ernst et al discloses an adapter (36) configured to optical connector two optical fiber cables terminated with fiber optic connectors (12, 14), one cable on a rear side of the adapter and one cable on a front side of the adapter (see figure 1), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention for Wheeler to have an adapter configured to optically connect two optical fiber cables terminated with fiber optic connectors, one cable on a rear side of the adapter and one cable on a front

Art Unit: 2839

side of the adapter in view of Ernst et al to minimize the size of the assembly.

- One connector with dust cap. Ernst et al discloses one connector (14) with dust cap (see figure 1), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention for Wheeler to have a connector with a dust cap in view of Ernst et al in order to avoid any foreign particle to get into the connector.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wheeler (5,497,444) in view of Ernst et al (5,825,955) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Waldron et al (6,234,683).

Wheeler in view of Ernst et al discloses all the limitations of claim 1, as shown above, However, Wheeler in view of Ernst et al does not disclose:

- a) A ferrule with a polished end face. Waldron et al discloses a ferrule with a polished end face (see col. 9, lines 50-53), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention for Wheeler in view of Ernst et al to have a ferrule with a polished end face in view of Waldron et al so as to eliminate environmental contaminants and debris.
- 7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wheeler (5,497,444) in view of Waldron et al (6,234,683).

Wheeler discloses a rack mounting structure; a cable management structure; a fanout panel mounted to the rack mounting structure; an adapter panel mounted to the rack mounting

structure, the adapter panel including a plurality of adapter openings sized to receive and mount fiber optic adapters (90) for optically connecting optical fibers within fiber optic cables terminated with fiber optic connectors, and a plurality of optical fiber adapters mounted within the openings, a cable connector holder panel mounted to the rack mounting structure, the cable connector panel including a plurality of openings sized similarly to the openings in the adapter panel and a plurality of fiber optic connector holders mounted within the openings, each of the fiber optic connector holders configured to receive a fiber optic connector, the cable management structure configured to direct a fiber optic cable from the fanout panel to each of the adapter panel and the cable connector holder panel and to store excess cable length.

However, Wheeler does not disclose:

- a) A dust cap. Waldron et al discloses a dust cap (250), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention for Wheeler to have a dust cap in view of Waldron et al so as to eliminate any foreign particle from entering the connector.
- A ferrule with a polished end face. Waldron et al discloses a ferrule with a polished end face (see col. 9, lines 50-53), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention for Wheeler to have a ferrule with a polished end face in view of Waldron et al so as to eliminate environmental contaminants and debris.
- 8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wheeler (5,497,444) in view of Ernst et al (5,825,955).

Art Unit: 2839

Wheeler discloses a first panel including an array of connector holders on the panel for selectively receiving a first plurality of connectors, the opposite end of the connector holders configured to not be matable with any of the connectors; a second panel including an array of adapters (90) on the panel for selectively receiving the first plurality of connectors not including dust caps on one end of the adapters,

However, Wheeler does not disclose:

- a) Dust caps. Ernst et al discloses dust caps (see figure 1), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention for Wheeler to have dust cap in view of Ernst et al to eliminate entering of any foreign particle into the connector.
- b) The opposite ends of the adapters configured to be matable with a second plurality of connectors without dust caps. Ernst et al discloses the opposite ends of the adapters configured to be matable with a second plurality of connectors without dust caps (see figure 1), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention for Wheeler to have the opposite ends of the adapters configured to be matable with a second plurality of connectors without dust caps in view of Ernst et al to minimize the size of the assembly.
- 9. Claims 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wheeler (5,497,444) in view of Waldron et al (6,234,683).

Wheeler in view of Waldron et al discloses all the structural limitations, as shown above,

Art Unit: 2839

Page 7

However, in regard the claimed method language is counter part of the apparatus claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to develop a particular method for the disclosed apparatus of the cited references.

Contact

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javaid Nasri whose telephone number is 571 272 2095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on 571 272 2800 ext 39. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Art Unit: 2839

For additional information regarding this new address, which was effective May 1, 2003, see Correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, 68 Fed. Reg. 14332 (March 25, 2003).

Or faxed to: 703-308-7722 or 308-7724 (informal or draft communications should be clearly labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (receptionist)
2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia

Javaid Nasri Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839

JNL

May 26, 2004